

# The War On Terror

Thursday, February 23, 2012

From The Desk  
Toby A Robinson &  
Christine York  
News Today 4 u  
Darwin NT  
Australia

Greetings Fellow Citizens of The World

Since 11<sup>th</sup> Sept 2001 when the Kharza Zionist Jewish Bankers, Corporations, Security & Military Industrial Complex, AIPAC The Zionist Lobby and other Zionist Lobbies and Big Pharma and the many rest of the Fascists declared WAR on the World.

That they had taken control of The United States of America, NATO Countries, Germany, France, England and the rest of American Allied Countries like Australia and Canada.

People started joining the "DOTS" together with the bigger picture of what is going on, these "Evil Entities" took control and declared WAR on the Whole World for control of the Banking, Reserve Banks and Resources for their sheer greed.

Also they wanted A One World Government.

But before that happened they had to bring all our countries down to a "Fascist Nazi Police State" to rule over us.

That is what has been happening with all these Laws being introduced in the above list of countries to turn our countries into Police States for utter control of everything we do in life.

Some of us warned people this was coming and behind the scenes politicians were selling us all out and being Bribed, Threaten, Blackmailed and Assassinated to achieve this agenda.

But many Americans especially were too dumb down, blind, ignorant and stupid to listen, watch, hear and see all this happening.

They were more concerned to argue in Internet Chat Rooms Right vs Left, Republican vs Democrats and both major party were marching full steam ahead trying to out do each other in introducing these NAZI Police State Laws.

Congratulations people you get what you deserve, there is NO Turning Back Now, we are just going to have to let this thing play out, and maybe in 100 years from now our Grand Kids will be able to steal back control and turn things about.

Other wise you can pretty well class this as Official Enslavement of Humanity for the above "Evil Entities" absolute sheer greed and control of "Mother Earth"

That all being said, sit back and read how these people were successful with implementing their agenda while you were sleeping, watch TV Wrestling, Listening to the Radio or out feeding your face at Mac Donald's.

## The War On Terror

### A Look At The Post 9/11 State Of The World



A War On Evil?

The War On Bad?

A War On Scary Stuff?

A Nondescript Vague Enemy That  
Promotes The Threat Of Never Ending War

What is war?

We all have a pretty good idea.

We know that it's a very bad thing for humanity.

We've been through enough of them in our lifetime to understand the horror of war, but what actually is the true definition of the concept, of the word itself?

The Merriam-Webster dictionary defines war as such:

1 a (1) : a state of usually open and declared armed hostile conflict between states or nations (2) : a period of such armed conflict (3) : state of war

2 b : a struggle or competition between opposing forces or for a particular end

< a class war > < a war against disease >

With this clarification in mind, how do we reconcile the "War On Terror" by such a simple definition?

Never in the history of warfare have we had such a vague description for a state of war.

The War On Terror is very unique and new to the American experience.

The overall ramifications of this constitutionally heretical concept are huge.

Never before have we encountered such a personal threat, unless maybe you were a Japanese-American during World War II.

With all of this in mind, let's first take a quick historical review of what is war and our experience with it.

Let us first digress about the state of war and why we fight.

Once again, to reiterate, we've never had such a vague outline for war as we have with that of the War On Terror.

Our wars have always been defined.

Our enemies have always been identified, until now.

We've actually had a war known simply by its date, with the war of 1812.

We've even had the American Civil War, although it's hard to figure how war can be civil.

I 'm being facetious of course, since the latter reference is actually the second definition of the term.

The primary meaning is civilian war, so at least that war had an appropriately definitive name to it.

We've also had the Spanish-American war.

That one is easy to figure out, although it should be called the American-Spanish war because the United States is thought by many to have instigated that whole mess.

Then we moved on to the mother of all wars with something new for mankind, called World War.



Since everyone is involved in these global free for alls, we simply name them after the number we've had.

Sadly enough, so far we've had two such horrors, so we call these World War I and World War II.

You would think that after the shock and carnage of two world wars we might all just take a break for awhile, but unfortunately that's not the case.

One country it seems just can't get enough of war, and that is undisputedly the United States.

The US has been at war for over a century, pretty much non-stop.

Sometimes we even like to pretend that we're not at war, so we defer to calling our invasions as mere conflicts, even though it is our military that is used to bomb and kill hundreds of thousands on foreign soil.

We did this with both Korea and Viet Nam, but most people know better and now call them both for what they were, war.

## Gunpoint Democracy

So why is a self-professed peaceful nation like the United States involved in so much war?

Our elected leaders will tell you that we're spreading democracy.

We kill scores of innocent civilians just so we can bring them our version of American life.

Of course that comes with the setting up of our corporations in their country to exploit their natural resources for ourselves, but hey, who can argue with the merits of greed based capitalism, versus a government system of the people taking care of the people?

We call these latter forms of governance any name except for democracy.

Socialism and communism are the evils we typically like to indoctrinate against, but despite all the propaganda involved, one thing is clear... governments are only as good as the moral qualities of those who lead them.

If Jesus comes back as prophesied, what type of government will he advocate?

Do you think he will side with the money-changers, or espouse a government of people helping people?

That answer shouldn't require too much deep thought.

The US chooses instead to spread democracy, which is actually nothing more than a system of mob rule, for it is the 51% controlling the other 49%.

That can hardly be defined as a true democracy, by any stretch of the imagination, but that's what we've been taught, indoctrinated to believe, through our history books in school.

As Winston Churchill was famous for saying, "the victor writes the history," and so it is with the US.

So we as a nation like to proudly beat our chests and scream loudly to the heavens about democracy and the "American way."

It's one of our favorite reasons for war, but the system that we are truly pushing is unchecked corporate capitalism, not the vision of our forefather's republic, that all men are created equal.

We pretty much go from country to country as we see fit, fighting wars with whoever has the resources that our corporations want the most of, and we push democracy at the long end barrel of a gun.

We'll gladly bomb the piss out of a country, destroying centuries of civil infrastructure, just so we can set them free and give them democracy.

And to think we were worried about the Nazis.

What would we think if another country stormed our soil and told us how we were going to live?

We got pretty uptight about the threat of that during World War II.

Smedley D. Butler, is one of the greatest unsung patriots this country never knew.

He was a major-general with a long military background, but when he quit the army he was a disillusioned man with contempt for the military establishment.

Butler wrote in his epic book, "War Is A Racket," that he was nothing more than a hired thug to promote and protect the capitalist interests of the US.

He described himself as a racketeer for corporate America.



Ask yourself, why is it when we go to war it is always "to protect US interests?"

Butler wouldn't be the only general to warn us about the improprieties of war.

## Beware The Military Industrial Complex

President Dwight D. Eisenhower tried to warn us all in his final farewell message to the nation on January 17, 1961, when he spoke about the dangers of the military industrial complex.

It was quite a statement to make for a military lifer such as Ike.

As he spoke, his eyes occasionally darted left and right, as if expecting someone to try and cut him off before he could deliver his dire prophesy.

Eisenhower understood all too well about the sinister power of the US military industrial complex and the powers that control it.

Fifty years later, our nation labors under the mammoth behemoth described by Ike.

We have become a perpetual war machine, just as he warned us.



We have never known a period where we weren't involved in war or "conflict" somewhere on the globe.

This is not an indictment upon the courageous men and women who have bravely served our country, for they have been unwitting sacrificial lambs, mere pawns for political and corporate ideology.

The judgment and guilt of war falls on those who instigate it for their personal profit.

(See military spending for last ten years at the end of this article.)

War is not instigated by nations as much as it is by the central banking institutions that control the governments of the world who bow to them for money.

That is an interesting concept in itself, for sovereign nations are supposed to have the power to print money for themselves, interest free, but that's what central banks do best, take sovereignty from nations in return for war and debt.

Quite a bargain.

In the past, when the Federal Reserve led banking cartel wanted to push the nation into war, they simply fabricated a reason.

The US has done so with every war, but more so after the 1913 takeover by the Federal Reserve cabal.

We have always initiated these illegal wars under the guise of "false flag" operations, blaming the instigating atrocity on a foreign flag so that we could justify a war with them.

It's not a new deception.

This method has been employed for many centuries.

It's an age old tactic that has become even more prevalent in modern times.

(See false flags at the end of this article.)

It's hard to argue which false flag is the largest or most evil of all, since every one of them has resulted in international war with tens of thousands to millions slaughtered over a deliberate lie that had no regard whatsoever for human life.

War is big business.

There is no disputing that.

Trillions of dollars are spent each year by the nations of this earth, with many of them being serviced in one way or another by the US military industrial complex.

The US alone pours in three-quarters of a trillion dollars per year to this war machine and that does not include the black projects!

One can't say that Eisenhower was particularly prescient, but as a five-star general and President of the United States he was acutely aware of the military and the industry that spawned itself around it.

He knew all too well about false flags and the opportunity they presented to those behind the military industrial complex.

War is money to these parasites of humanity.

Make no mistake, Ike was right about the military industrial complex.

## The WOT Catalyst

One could argue that the most prominent false flag that had the largest overall effect on the US and the world has to be the 9/11 attacks.

An overnight police state was erected not only in the US, but around the world. Orwell's dark vision soon became a reality.

If Bush and Cheney were so serious about a War On Terror, then why did they resist an independent investigation of the worst terror attack ever on US soil?

Why did Bush drag his feet for 441 days, well over a year, before finally giving in to public pressure for a 9/11 Commission and an investigation?

While the Bush-Cheney regime was not big on investigating 9/11, they were certainly quick to exploit it.

The Bush administration quickly adopted an offensive stance that, for the first time ever, publicly admitted to unprovoked preemptive warfare, something it had never done before.



This stance was originally developed by PNAC architect Paul Wolfowitz, who became Deputy Secretary of Defense under Bush-Cheney.

The strategy became known as the Bush doctrine, but for all intents and purposes was really the Wolfowitz doctrine.

The catalyst for this immoral depravity was the 9/11 attacks.

The PNAC laden Bush administration jumped at the chance to capitalize on their suspiciously fortuitous vision for a new Pearl Harbor.

I use the term suspiciously because many openly question their uncanny prescience of the event, one year to the month after they first published their dubious manifesto titled, "Rebuilding America's Defenses". (Sept. 2000)

As the result of numerous incriminating government implications, the 9/11 attacks soon became looked at as yet another false flag event, the PNAC's prophecy fulfilling new Pearl Harbor.

The 9/11 attacks soon became the foundation for sweeping reforms in the US, with the passage of anti-Constitution legislation such as the heinous Patriot Act, the Military Commissions Act, and the Homegrown Terrorism Act, as well as establishing the Hitler-esque Department of Homeland Security (DHS) the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) and the Total Information Awareness (TIA) office.

Together, these acts and agencies established the cornerstone for the War On Terror.

The dawn of a new century, and the millennium, did not start well and only got worse.

Because of 9/11, the US would make a bold departure from the protection of human rights, to a blatant disregard for them.

An ironic dichotomy was presented to Americans, the US would have to curtail Constitutional rights in order to protect their freedom.

Secondly, the US would now start wars in order to prevent them.

**Excuse me?.**

Time to cue Auntie Em... "Dorothy? Dorothy, wake up."

## The War On Terror

So what is a war on "terror?"

The term itself is as nebulous and vague as one could possibly imagine.

There is no clearly defined enemy.

There is no specifically identified threat.

The term is limited to nothing more than just "terror."

This in itself is an irony since the phrase lends its meaning to an open-ended war.

Let's turn to Merriam-Webster once again and look at the definition of terror:

1 : a state of intense fear.

2 *a* : one that inspires fear : scourge *b* : a frightening aspect < the terrors of invasion >  
*c* : a cause of anxiety : worry *d* : an appalling person or thing; especially : brat

3 : reign of terror

4 : violent or destructive acts (as bombing) committed by groups in order to intimidate a population or government into granting their demands < insurrection and revolutionary terror >

I wholly expected the first definition of "*fear*," but the 2nd, 3rd and 4th definitions were somewhat suspicious to me.

Having a communications background, I suspected a manipulation of this definition, so I turned to my broadcast school issued Random House college edition, copyright 1975.

As I thought, a contemporary spin had been placed on the current definition, one that had never existed before.

The newer updated definition described above seemed to be tailored to play to the Bush-Cheney theme of terror.

What was that old saying from Churchill again?

According to the 1975 Random House version, the pre-9/11 definition of terror is:

1. intense, sharp overmastering fear: to be frantic with terror.

2. a feeling, instance, or cause of intense fear: to be a terror to evildoers. to frighten.

Syn. alarm, dismay, consternation. Terror, Horror, Panic, Fright, all imply extreme fear in the presence of danger or evil.

Terror implies an intense fear that is somewhat prolonged and may refer to imagined or future dangers: frozen with terror.

Horror implies a sense of shock at a danger that is also evil: to recoil in horror.

Panic and Fright both imply a sudden shock of fear.

Panic is uncontrolled and unreasoning fear, often groundless, that may be prolonged: The mob was in a panic.

Fright is usually of short duration.

So there you have it, the pre-9/11 definition of terror.

I think it's safe to say that Karl Rove was also using this older standard version when they dreamt up the War On Terror.

So, according to Random House, we could have had the war on horror, or the war on fright.

We could even have gone so far as to have the war on evil, or the war on bad.

I think you get my point.







The gist to all of this is, none of these vague descriptors defines a specific enemy, nor identifies a particular threat, as wars used to.

We all understood the war against Nazis.

That was an identified enemy to be feared, but the term terror defines no one.

I would proffer that this was done by design, with explicit and calculated intent, because this gives the powers behind our government, and the military industrial complex, an open-ended ticket to never ending war.

If you don't define the enemy, how do you place a measurement for victory in the war?

Have you ever spent the 5th grade intellect to answer the question, when will terror be defeated?

How can anyone ever say for sure that terror or evil has been conquered?

Save for that second coming of Jesus, who out there stands a chance to banish all evil from the earth, especially when those running the show are the biggest terrorists of all?

## An Irrational Premise

Fighting a war on terror is the same as the church saying it's going to end lust, or that we're going to stop politicians from lying, or cure bankers of greed.

The War On Terror is right up there with the war on hunger, poverty, or drugs.

We've been addressing those issues for quite awhile.

How are those working out for you?

Ending the War On Terror is like trying to stop dogs from chasing cats, or getting men to quit ogling at women. Ain't gonna happen folks.

Terrorism, like crime, is a direct byproduct of a socio-economic environmental habitat.

It is the direct blowback from government meddling in foreign affairs, stealing a country's resources, oppressing its people, or both.

The only way we will stop terrorism is when we quit economic and capitalist terrorism.

The day we quit raping and pillaging other countries for their natural resources, when we end unlawful occupation of foreign lands, only then will we start to see an end to foreign terrorism.

As for domestic state sponsored terrorism, now that's an entirely different issue.

## Fomenting Fear

The highlight in the Random House definition of terror is the use of Bush's favorite term, "evildoers."

For the record, can there be a more intellectually deficient phrase than evildoers?

Evildoers has to be right up there with the term boogeyman, yet somehow it found a prominent place in the propaganda spin for the War On Terror.

No one else in the Bush administration ever used the term evildoers.

Not Cheney, not Rumsfeld, not Condoleeza Rice... no one.

That word seemed to be reserved exclusively for Bush, to scare old women and young children with.

It was as much a part of his fractured vocabulary as nuke-ler, or strategery.

It's hard to imagine that Bush could ever keep a straight face while using this phrase exclusively for himself, over and over, repeatedly.

He used it with a purpose.

The term itself is hysterically laughable, if it wasn't for the fact that it was pathetically exploited over and over and over again.

We were clearly rubbed on that one folks.

Fear is a powerful control tool, which is exactly why we have this lame War On Terror in the first place.

The powers that be, along with our leaders and politicians, know exactly what they are doing.

They want us to be full of fear.

They want us to look to them for protection.

Fear is easily the number one control mechanism in the world, right next to bribery.

It moves people like no other force known to man.

It's an inherent inbred emotion.

Fear provokes involuntary reaction.

FDR once said that the only thing we have to fear is fear itself.

That sounds good from a speaker's lectern or a pulpit, but the reality is, human beings are fragile and do not like pain or confinement.

The threat of assault, physical violence, or incarceration is the intimidation we get from fear. It is a natural and unapologetic human trait that is seemingly uncontrollable.

Fear is power.



## The Real Terrorists

It's an indisputable fact that Bush, Cheney and the Republican party terrorized us more than anyone else.

The term terror became an hourly mantra for them.

Their Homeland Security fear thermometer was nothing more than a mechanism for them to dial up fear with.

It was already admitted that they used their terror scale for their own advantage, such as before key elections, to manipulate public emotion.

Remember the warnings that Darth Cheney would issue?

If you vote for candidate B, we will get attacked.

How many times did we hear that threat from Cheney?

Correct answer: too many.

And don't think the Democrats and Obama get a pass either.

They've had three years to undo the constitutional atrocities and legislative horrors of the Bush-Cheney regime, but "hope" was apparently just a campaign slogan for them, and the only "change" we got was the little that we have left in our pockets after the Wall Street and Federal Reserve fleecing of America.

A war on terror?

How about a war on predatory bank lending, mortgage fraud, political corruption, or Wall Street and Capitol Hill insider trading?

**Face it folks.**

**The War On Terror is a manufactured fraud.**

It has all been one gigantic treasonous lie right from the start.

Those that buy into this ruse are uneducated, ignorant, or just plain stupid.

**First off Osama bin Laden was never wanted by the FBI for 9/11.**

**They had no evidence to link him to the crime. That is a fact.**

Secondly, the Taliban were more than willing to hand bin Laden over in a minute, if we could provide the intelligence proof that he was involved.

We offered no proof because we had none.

Third, Osama was a CIA trained asset.

He was known to his CIA handlers as Tim Osman.

The CIA actually visited him in the hospital in Dubai just before 9/11, when he was suffering from kidney disease.

If he was wanted so badly for the Cole bombing and the US barracks bombing in Africa, how come he wasn't arrested at that time?

The answer is, because the black hats at the CIA needed him to be Lee Harvey bin Laden for the 9/11 attacks.

He was the chosen patsy for the great Muslim terror fraud.

Even though he was captured and killed after the Tora Bora raids, they kept the specter of Osama alive to keep the fear with the public alive and well.

The War On Terror is as bogus as Dick Cheney having a soul.

We invent new enemies for our fake terror war as quickly as we need them.

## Imaginary Threats

The Al Qaeda threat is another CIA manifestation, created by them in the 1980's to help fight the Russians in Afghanistan.

This is where Tim Osman, AKA Osama bin Laden, got his start.

The term Al Qaeda simply referred to a database of CIA trained operatives.

We didn't attack Afghanistan because the Taliban wouldn't turn Osama over.

We did it for imperial capitalist exploitation.

One of the first things that Bush and Cheney did after stealing office was to invite the Taliban to the US to discuss an oil and gas pipeline route across Afghanistan to the Caspian sea.

The Taliban said no deal. That is the sole reason why they became enemies of the Bush-Cheney administration.

Other examples of blatant lying in the fabricated War On Terror are the false Iraq-9/11 connection, plus the blatant weapons of mass destruction war lie.

Neither account was true.

Both Bush and Cheney have admitted this publicly on record, but they also both deny ever making the charges to begin with.

They seem to forget there are numerous recorded news accounts.

This either attests to how stupid that they think we are, or how pathetically stupid they are.

So for the record, we invaded both Afghanistan and Iraq with no provocation whatsoever.

We attacked these innocent countries, killed over a million people, 90% being civilian, and overthrew their governments and executed their political leaders.

Assassinated or murdered are the more accurate terms.

So much for the Bush-Cheney war on terror.

Now we have the Obama administration and his failed promise to close the Guantanamo Bay detention center, to end the use of torture, and to end the wars and bring our troops home.

So far, he has done none of the above. Instead, he has continued to wage US led terror by invading a third innocent country since 9/11 with an unprovoked invasion of Libya.



The Obama administration claims Gaddafi was a brutal dictator, even when the United Nations was scheduled to give him a human rights award.

So much for being a tyrant.

Libya was a shining star of civilization in North Africa with a standard of living exceeding many nations, with perks given to its citizens that none in the US has ever enjoyed, save for the filthy rich.

Gaddafi fell out of favor only after he told the powers that be in the Rothschild-Rockefeller central banking cartel that Libya and the emerging African union would no longer accept Federal Reserve notes.

The sanctioning of the illegal Libya invasion and Gaddafi murder came from a guy who was given the Nobel Peace Prize upon entering office. Obama's actions will forever taint the history of this once prestigious award.

Three countries invaded since 9/11 with no moral justification whatsoever, with millions killed in the process.

I would tend to think that this arguably makes us the number one terrorist state in the world right now, with the black hats at the CIA running neck and neck with the Mossad as the top terror organization.

Parse the facts any way you like, but the world as a whole sees the US in a much different light.

Again, we fought WWII to keep the Nazis from taking over our country, yet we invade others without a second thought.

What have we become?

What have the corrupt powers that be turned our country into?

We do not fight a war on terror. We are fighting a war of terror, a war for terror.

## An Agenda Of War

Who will be next?

Will it be Syria?

How about Iran, or even China?

It would appear that the powers that be who control the US military juggernaut have their sights set on all of them.

The only thing needed now is to label them as a terrorist state, then it's off to the races.

All they need to do is conjure up some cockeyed story, like yellow-cake uranium from Niger, or maybe weapons of mass destruction.

The corporate owned media machine will gutlessly do its part to pour it all in a bowl and then set it out on the front porch for the brain dead non-thinkers to hungrily lap up.

"Mmmm," they will say, "more terror soup."

Disinformation can be somewhat filling when served to an empty vacuous brain.

It's all absolute, purely insane, outright madness.

There's no other way to look at it.

For those that do think... have you ever noticed how all of the so-called terrorist states are the ones that are saying no to the new world order?

It's funny how the Axis of Evil were those countries not within the Rothschild central bank orbit.

Saddam said no more Federal Reserve notes for Iraq.  
Boom!

Gaddafi said no more Federal Reserve notes for Libya.  
Boom!

For crying out loud, if you can't figure out this bullshit War On Terror by now, well... you probably watch too much TV news.

Since 9/11, the US has helped the globalist agenda by following the PNAC's imperialist blueprint to the very letter of the document, fulfilling their plan for US domination, and thus creating a world of mayhem that is literally ready to come apart at the seams any moment now.

This false War On Terror only creates terror.

It does not stop it.

How anyone can view the actions of the US any differently is quite hard to understand.

Some still vainly cling to the old romantic notion that the land of the free and the brave is fighting these nondescript evildoers in order to make us all safe.

Some naively believe that we're simply doing "God's work."

(I'm sure he's quite proud.)

These neo-patriots refuse to see what's in front of their face, nor entertain that we would ever stoop so low, to such brutal barbarity.

It's very much like refusing to accept that maybe their favorite uncle Sam has a drinking problem, or an anger management issue.

Patriotism, like faith, should never be blind. It is reasoned, informed and it is educated. Being a good patriot also comes from questioning your government and holding it accountable for its actions. We need more Americans questioning the actions of a government gone wild.

If you ask this author, the term neocon is to be feared more than evildoers.

It is a name that is very much synonymous with Nazi.

(My apologies to the Nazis.)

Both groups are extremely far right and both are advocates for fascism, government run by the corporate elite and the uber-wealthy.

**The real power behind the fake War On Terror is the ultra-wealthy banking cartel that pays off our politicians, rigs our elections, controls our intelligence agencies and the military industrial complex.**

This core banking cartel of multi-billionaires and unreported trillionaires has unlimited access to funding with which to initiate foreign coup d' etats, assassinate political leaders, pay judges, and buy into and control our corporate media.

The age old adage that money is power is one of the great truisms of this world, one that this cabal of the immoral filthy rich uses most deviously to their advantage.

The goal is to do away with nation status and form a one-world currency for a one-world order that is ruled by them.

It has been a diabolically patient plan, carried out in secret over many decades, but since the new millennium and 9/11, they are very close to their long planned goal, thanks in large part to their vague, open-ended false War On Terror.

It's uncomfortable to admit, but if you do your homework, as John and Robert Kennedy both did, you'll learn that these heavily moneyed powers that be, and the dark secret societies that they belong to, have all the funding and power at their disposal to pull this final coup off.

It's no accident that we received the PNAC vision of a new Pearl Harbor, and it's no coincidence that America has taken the lead role in the new wars of terror.

A sinister agenda is at work in the world right now.

Our only hope is that the white hats of our intelligence agencies, and the remaining honorable officers of our military will rise up to finally put an end to this reign of terror.

If not, then the second coming of Jesus is our only hope left.

If that sounds bleak, it's because it is.

As Nostradamus once predicted centuries ago, "The antichrist very soon annihilates the three, twenty-seven years his war will last."

Let's hope that he wasn't referring to the Kennedy's, John, Robert, and John Jr.

It was after the death of the third Kennedy that this War On Terror began.

We're already ten years into what this author refers to as the "vague wars."

Another 17 years of this bogus War On Terror would be hard to bear.

If you take anything away from this article, let it be that the War On Terror is bullshit.

See Also:

The Muslim Lie



## **False Flag Operations That Have Led to War**

**Sinking of the Maine** - (leading to the Spanish-American war)

The Maine exploded in Havana Harbor and was blamed on a Spanish mine, but it was later determined that the cause was the ignition of five tons of powder used for the ship's massive six and ten inch guns.

Many have suggested that since the Maine was already obsolete upon its initial launch, it was used as the excuse for a war with Spain.

**Sinking of the Lusitania** – (leading to World War I)  
The US is said to have secretly leaked information to the Germans that the Lusitania was carrying a secret cargo of weapons.

This of course obviously did not sit well with Germany.

A German U-boat was consequently ordered to sink the ship ocean liner, giving the US the excuse to enter into World War I.

**Pearl Harbor** – (leading to World War II) Some claim this as the first ever sacrifice with full knowledge of an impending enemy attack.

This horrific 9/11-type event was said to be used by those wanting U.S. war involvement, to form and then galvanize U.S. opinion into backing involvement in World War II.

According to Admiral Kimmel, the US had broken the Japanese codes and knew well in advance exactly when and where the attack would begin.

The US moved key ships out to sea and is said to have allowed the attacks to take place, justifying its war presence and the eventual use of nuclear weapons which resulted in a long-sought place of world military prominence for the U.S.

**Gulf of Tonkin** – (expanding US involvement in the Viet Nam War) The US claimed that the North Vietnamese launched torpedoes at the destroyer Maddox in the Gulf of Tonkin.

Former Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara later admitted that the attack had never happened.



Essentially, the LBJ expansion of U.S., involvement in the Viet Nam War was based on a lie. Millions died in the decade long war as a result of this now admitted lie.

**Kuwait Invasion** – (leading to the first Iraq War) The US told Saddam it was okay to take over Kuwait and seize their oil fields.

We even sold them the poison gas to attack Kuwait with.

The Kuwaiti Ambassador's daughter in Washington falsely posed as an Iraqi nurse, claiming babies were taken from incubators and left to die on the cold floor.

Nothing of the sort ever happened.

It was a lie to stir Americans to anger.

She was said to be coached by DC ad firm, Hill & Knowlton.

After Saddam attacked Kuwait, the US then double-crossed him and went ahead and attacked Iraq.

**Kosovo Ethnic Cleansing** - (leading to Kosovo war) Rade Markovic, former head of the Department of State Security of the Serbian Ministry of the Interior (the Serbian Secret Service) testified that he was tortured to lie and say that Slobodan Milosevic murdered ethnic Albanians and tried to drive them from Kosovo.

The US went to war under false pretenses once again.

In the end it was all about establishing an economic trade corridor for corporate interests.

**The 9/11 Attacks** (leading to the War On Terror) - A small, very far right, group of extremist individuals, the Project for a New American Century (PNAC) believed that America was at the height of its power and that it needed to assert itself in the world and take control while we are the lone super-power.

In a September 2000 PNAC manifesto titled, "Rebuilding America's Defenses," doubt was cast as to how fast these aims could be achieved by a right-wing administration, however, it was noted that the presence of a "new Pearl Harbor" would help the public to go along with their plan for imperialism and power.

"Further, the process of transformation, even if it brings revolutionary change, is likely to be a long one, absent some catastrophic and catalyzing event – like a new Pearl Harbor."

As coincidence would have it, one year from that printing, and less than one year after this select group of PNAC individuals were placed into offices of high power within the Bush-Cheney administration, they conveniently received their new Pearl Harbor on September the 11th, 2001 with the 9/11 attacks.

The gateway of opportunity for their brazen plan for US domination was suddenly now open for exploitation.



**If you're not living in fear of terror, are you truly a good patriotic American?**

